

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/697,607	10/29/2003		Scott Kay	4419-4068	9622	
27123	7590	06/15/2005		EXAMINER		
		VEGAN, L.L.P.	BRITTAIN, JAMES R			
3 WORLD I		IAL CENTER 10281-2101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1,2,, 1912	·-, ··-			3677		
				DATE MAILED: 06/15/2003	DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/697,607	KAY, SCOTT
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	James R. Brittain	3677
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 M	<u> 1arch 2005</u> .	
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	·	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-21 is/are pending in the appliance of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-21 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or and/or are subject.	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	-	<b>\</b>
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)

He

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. §102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3-6, 9-11, 14-18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Madsen (US 1794384).

Madsen (figures 1-5) teaches a locking toggle clasp assembly for releasably coupling opposing terminal portions of a necklace comprising: a toggle bar 12 connected to a first terminal portion of the necklace; and a toggle clasp 11 connected to a second terminal portion of the necklace, the toggle clasp having an opening, wherein the toggle clasp is selectively movable between an open position, figure 4, in which the toggle bar may pass through the opening and a locked position, figures 1 and 2, in which the toggle bar is prevented from passing through the opening in the toggle loop 13 by the swivel 14. The swivel includes disk 16 that extends from a position as shown in figure 5 with the toggle loop 13 of a first size that permits the toggle bar to extend through the toggle loop to a position in which it pivots downwardly with the portion adjacent the pivot 15 impinging into the toggle loop to reduce the opening in the toggle loop to a second size that prevents the toggle bar from passing through. Figure 2 clearly shows the opening in the toggle loop reduced in size and the toggle bar will not pass through the toggle loop opening. In regard to claim 16, Madsen (figures 1-5) teaches a toggle clasp assembly for releasably coupling opposing ends of an item of jewelry, comprising: a male toggle member 12

Application/Control Number: 10/697,607

Art Unit: 3677

having a major dimension along a firs axis and a minor dimension along a second axis, the male toggle member adapted to be coupled to a first end of the jewelry item such that the first axis is generally perpendicular to a major axis of the jewelry item; a female toggle member 13 defining an opening and adapted to be coupled to an opposing end of the jewelry item; and a protruding member 14 pivotally connected to the female toggle member, the protruding member selectively movable between an open position where the male toggle member can pass through the opening and a closed position where the protruding member impinges into the opening to prevent the male toggle member from passing through the opening in the toggle loop 13 by the swivel 14. The swivel includes disk 16 that extends from a position as shown in figure 5 with the toggle loop 13 of a first size that permits the toggle bar to extend through the toggle loop to a position in which it pivots downwardly with the portion adjacent the pivot 15 impinging into the toggle loop to reduce the opening in the toggle loop to a second size that prevents the toggle bar from passing through. Figure 2 clearly shows the opening in the toggle loop reduced in size and the toggle bar will not pass through the toggle loop opening. As to claim 20, Madsen (figures 1-5) teaches a method for releasably coupling opposed ends of an item of jewelry, comprising the steps of: providing a male toggle member 12 on a first end of the item of jewelry, the male member having a major dimension and a minor dimension; providing a female toggle member 13 on an opposing end of the item of jewelry, the female toggle member defining an opening that is smaller than the major dimension; pivoting a swivel 14 about the female toggle member from a closed position where the swivel impinges into the opening to prevent the male member from passing through the opening to an open position where the swivel does not impinge into the opening; inserting the male toggle member through the opening along an axis corresponding to

Page 3

the minor dimension when the swivel is in the open position; and pivoting the swivel to the closed position to impinge the swivel into the opening and releasably prevent the male toggle member from passing through the opening in the toggle loop 13 by the swivel 14. The swivel includes disk 16 that extends from a position as shown in figure 5 with the toggle loop 13 of a first size that permits the toggle bar to extend through the toggle loop to a position in which it pivots downwardly with the portion adjacent the pivot 15 impinging into the toggle loop to reduce the opening in the toggle loop to a second size that prevents the toggle bar from passing through. Figure 2 clearly shows the opening in the toggle loop reduced in size and the toggle bar will not pass through the toggle loop opening.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. §103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Madsen (US 1794384) in view of Fox (US 2004/0139586).

Madsen (figures 1-5) teaches a locking toggle clasp assembly for releasably coupling opposing terminal portions of a necklace comprising: a toggle bar 12 connected to a first terminal portion of the necklace; and a toggle clasp 11 connected to a second terminal portion of the necklace, the toggle clasp having an opening, wherein the toggle clasp is selectively movable between an open position, figure 4, in which the toggle bar may pass through the opening and a locked position, figures 1 and 2, in which the toggle bar is prevented from passing through the

Application/Control Number: 10/697,607

Art Unit: 3677

opening in the toggle loop 13 by the swivel 14. The swivel includes disk 16 that extends from a position as shown in figure 5 with the toggle loop 13 of a first size that permits the toggle bar to extend through the toggle loop to a position in which it pivots downwardly with the portion adjacent the pivot 15 impinging into the toggle loop to reduce the opening in the toggle loop to a second size that prevents the toggle bar from passing through. Figure 2 clearly shows the opening in the toggle loop reduced in size and the toggle bar will not pass through the toggle loop opening. The difference is that the swivel 14 including the disk 16 is of a size almost equal to the central opening of the annular ring portion 13. However, Fox (figures 1-4) teaches that the swivel 2 need not be so large as to cover the entire opening, but only sized to prevent removal of the toggle bar 14 from the opening 13 thereby enhancing the appearance and saving material, which is a significant cost saving in the jewelry art because of the use of expensive metals. As it would be beneficial to enhance the appearance of the fastener of Madsen while saving material, it would have been obvious to modify the relative dimensions of the ring and lever of Madsen so that there would still be some open area in the closed position as taught by Fox, since it would function equally well as evidenced by Fox and would improve the appearance and save material. In regard to claims 12 and 13, the use of the necklace fastener of Madsen upon a bracelet would have been obvious in view of Fox teaching that necklace fasteners are readily usable for other purposes such as bracelets [0036].

Page 5

Claims 8, 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Madsen (US 1794384) in view of Katz (US 5410784).

Madsen (figures 1-5) teaches a locking toggle clasp assembly for releasably coupling opposing terminal portions of a necklace comprising: a toggle bar 12 connected to a first

terminal portion of the necklace; and a toggle clasp 11 connected to a second terminal portion of the necklace, the toggle clasp having an opening, wherein the toggle clasp is selectively movable between an open position, figure 4, in which the toggle bar may pass through the opening and a locked position, figures 1 and 2, in which the toggle bar is prevented from passing through the opening in the toggle loop 13 by the swivel 14. The swivel includes disk 16 that extends from a position as shown in figure 5 with the toggle loop 13 of a first size that permits the toggle bar to extend through the toggle loop to a position in which it pivots downwardly with the portion adjacent the pivot 15 impinging into the toggle loop to reduce the opening in the toggle loop to a second size that prevents the toggle bar from passing through. Figure 2 clearly shows the opening in the toggle loop reduced in size and the toggle bar will not pass through the toggle loop opening. The difference is that friction is not used to lock the swivel in the closed position. However, friction is a common expedient to secure a locking lever in the jewelry art and Katz (figures 1, 2, 4) teaches that friction between the body 2 and latch 22 is used to hold the pivoted latch 22 in place (col. 4, lines 14-18). As it would be beneficial to provide the fastener of Madsen with a positive securement to maintain the swivel in place, it would have been obvious to modify the fastener of Madsen to utilize friction to lock the swivel in the closed position in view of Katz teaching that friction is a common expedient to provide a securement for a pivoted latch in the jewelry art.

Page 6

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant has only one argument centered on the words "impinges into" and "to reduce the size of the opening". The argument is presented that because the device of Madsen also

Application/Control Number: 10/697,607

Art Unit: 3677

clamps the toggle bar that somehow applicant's language avoids the teachings of Madsen, but the argument is unpersuasive for two reasons. First, there is absolutely nothing in the claim construction that prevents the swivel from clamping the toggle bar. Second, all that the claim construction requires is that the swivel "impinges into" the opening in order to reduce its size and the term "impinges" doesn't even require the swivel to fit completely into the opening, only some portion need extend into the opening. In Madsen, the swivel includes disk 16 that extends from a position as shown in figure 5 with the toggle loop 13 of a first size that permits the toggle bar to extend through the toggle loop to a position in which it pivots downwardly with the portion adjacent the pivot 15 impinging into the toggle loop to reduce the opening in the toggle loop to a second size that prevents the toggle bar from passing through. Figure 2 clearly shows the opening in the toggle loop reduced in size and the toggle bar will not pass through the toggle loop opening. Applicant addresses the other rejections incorporating Fox and Katz with the same argument as for Madsen and for the reasons given above the argument is unpersuasive.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 3677

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James R. Brittain whose telephone number is (571) 272-7065. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. J. Swann can be reached on (571) 272-7075. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James R. Brittain Primary Examiner Art Unit 3677